UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,522	02/15/2006	Shunsuke Takaki	58901US005	2954
32692 7590 10/08/2008 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427			EXAMINER	
			MCCLENDON, SANZA L	
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/08/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com LegalDocketing@mmm.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/568,522	TAKAKI, SHUNSUKE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sanza L. McClendon	1796	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/15/ 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. Is have been received in Applicati In rity documents have been receive U (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,522 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Takanobu (JP 2002-060456).
- 4. Takanobu sets forth a carboxyl containing polyurethane (meth) acrylate oligomer for use in making PSA's useful in medical applications. Said polyurethane has the structure as found in the abstract and section [0008] represented by formula I. The urethane is obtained by reaction of a random copolymer having ethylene oxide and propylene oxide units, a mono-ol containing compound, a carboxyl-groups containing polyol, an organic isocyanate, and a hydroxyalkyl (meth) acrylate compound. In section [0012] it is taught that the M moiety in formula 1 is the dehydration residue of an alkoxy

polyethylene glycol, an alkoxy polypropylene glycol, a fatty alcohol, hydroxyl group containing (meth) acrylate and a mono-ol compound chosen from carboxyl group content monoalcohols. The P, B, I, and A moieties are described in sections [0008] to [0012]. These are deemed to anticipate claims 3 and 14. Takanobu sets forth that said polyurethane can be cured in the presence of active energy, such as UV and electron beam, as well as, heat to obtain a PSA that has excellent curability and moisture permeability. Said urethane composition can be coated onto a substrate, such as a plastic or fabric and cured to obtain a use medical article having PSA properties, as well as, moisture-permeability and low skin irritation. It is deemed that the examples anticipate the teachings of claims 4-5 and 15. Takanobu teaches that when using electron beam the need for a photoinitiator is eliminated. In addition, it is taught that reducing the photoinitiator content reduces the affect of skin irritation--see [0033]. When electron beam is used in the method applicant's limitation of less than 1.5% by weight photoinitiator is anticipated, since less than includes zero (0) percent. It is deemed that the limitation of claims 9 and 18 are taught in the reference in such a way that one of ordinary skill in the art understand that lowering the photoinitiator content increases the benefit of low skin irritability. Per the examples, Takanobu teaches using a hindered phenol-based antioxidant (di-t-butylhydroxyphenol)—see example 1.

Takanobu differs from the instant invention in that there is no teaching of the glass transition of the base polymer being 0 °C or less. The examiner deems that the polyurethane of Takanobu meets the limitations of the claims and, since, the Patent and Trademark Office is not equipped to conduct experimentation in order to determine whether Applicant's composition differs and, if so, to what extent, from the discussed reference. Therefore, with the showing of the reference, the burden of establishing non-obviousness by objective evidence is shifted to the Applicants.

5. Regarding the plasticizers of claims 2, 6, 13, 16; it is deemed that it would have been well within the skill of an ordinary artisan to incorporate a known additive for their art recognized functions and properties within the composition of Takanobu. The motivation would have been a reasonable expectation of producing a PSA having improved properties. Wherein the courts have upheld it is obvious to add known

Art Unit: 1796

ingredients to a composition for its known function--see In re Linder, 173 USPQ 356 and In re Dial et al, 140 USPQ 244. Regarding the limitation in claim 12 regarding heating the adhesive to form a coating having the defined viscosity, it is well within the skill of an ordinary artisan to use heat as a way to modify viscosity to provide a optimal coating parameters--see In re Aller et al., 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955) and In re Reese, 129 USPQ 402.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sanza L. McClendon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1074. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sanza L McClendon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796 Application/Control Number: 10/568,522

Page 5

Art Unit: 1796